



Structure

- From ENP to EaP: conceptual & methodological tensions
- East European response: the missing 'other'
 - EaP's added value
 - Governance or partnership?
 - Limitations and boundaries
 - Perceptions
- ENP/EaP: an adequate tool?



'Europeanising or Securitising the outsiders? Assessing the EU's partnership-building approach with Eastern Europe', 2008-2010 (RES-061-25-0001)

Methodology:

- Surveys: published in EU and conducted in EE
- Interviews (across the border): government officials, MPs
- Focus groups
- School essays on Europe
- This presentation is based on interviews conducted in September-October 2009 in Brussels (EC, MEPs, MS), and in EE (MS reps, EU Delegations, MFA, MPs & Presidential Administrations)

For more information:

http://www.aber.ac.uk/interpol/en/research/EKPproject/index.htm



ENP/EaP: conceptual & methodological tensions

- A new philosophy of partnership in the ENP
- Conceptual tensions:
 - Rhetoric vs actions & means
 - Policy discrepancies
- Methodological tension:
 - External Governance approach



East European Response

- EaP's added value:
 - Equality without imposing alien values (MP, Belarus)
 - EaP is an odd attempt to show bureaucratically that something is being done without specific purpose (MP, Moldova)
- Governance vs Partnership:
 - The EU does not want to see Ukraine as equal partner. But if we had a prospect of membership, we would've allowed the EU to dictate (Rada)
 - EU is too soft and needs to be more concrete and critical (MP, Moldova)
- Limitations and Boundaries:
 - If the EU comes here to teach us how to live, this would be the wrong footing (Mp, Belarus)
 - Relations should be based on common rules, not values, which would make cooperation far more effective (MFA, Ukraine)
 - We don't share values, we repeat phrase and create illusions of values (MFA, Moldova)
- Perceptions:
 - We initially had a sense of inferiority, now we have learnt a lesson, and will pursue our strategic interests from now on (MFA, Ukraine)



Conclusions

- Logistical changes but conceptual continuity
- Absence of a workable notion of partnership
- Conceptual tensions:
 - EU-centred values and interests
 - Policy discrepancies
- Methodological tension:
 - External governance
- Implications for partnership without 'partner'
 - EU as a Securitiser? A moral Crusader?
 - Il-legitimate 'Force for Good'?