Jubilee Aitken - an eightieth birthday celebration

10 - 12 April 2002

It is given to very few of us to be the founder of a new branch of science.

Martin Aitken is one of those.

From a background in synchrotron physics at the Clarendon Laboratory, Oxford he moved to the infant Research Laboratory for Archaeology and the History of Art, known to most of us as "RLAHU" or as "Keble Road". There he devoted his attention to a variety of physical methods of dating the archaeologist. It was he who, with Christopher Hawkes, coined the word "Archeometry" for the application of mathematics and physics to archaeological problems. He first devoted his attention to archeomagnetics and its applications in this context, particularly proton magnetometer detection of buried remains. Since then, of course, Archeometry has come, by extension, to embrace the application of all the sciences, and the original archaeology has been joined by Quaternary geology in the field of operations. Aitken is a physicist and it may therefore not be coincidental that a goodly proportion of the advances in methodology for luminescence dating have been made by physicists.

At the time, thermoluminescence was fairly well-known as a tool for studying minerals per se. Taking up an abandoned speculation that it should be possible to use mineral thermoluminescence to find the age of pottery, he showed that it was indeed possible to do so, although by his own account he wondered from time to time whether it was going to be possible after all. Nowadays, pottery features rather infrequently in the dating game, if you exclude the art market and authentication or the lids of toilet cisterns from Pripyat, as reported to the Conference.

The needed skills gradually diffused out from Oxford in the notebooks and heads of their many visitors and, by the mid seventies, thermoluminescent dating laboratories were beginning to spring up elsewhere in the UK, in continental Europe and even as far away as Australia and Canada. Visitors to those laboratories could not help but be struck by the fact that the way things were done could be identifiable traced to the Keble Road influence. Indeed, it was only in the 1990s that it was possible to find a luminescence dating laboratory in which such influence was no longer direct.

Two big advances were responsible for the expansion into geology:
1) the realisation by the Simon Fraser group and independently in the USSR, that the luminescence clock could be reset by exposure of mineral grains to sunlight and that this would allow sediments to be dated by TL and;
2) the corollary that, just as TL can be used to date samples for which the TL clock has been reset by heat, so photostimulation can be used to date samples for which the clock has been reset by light exposure. Resetting by heat is, of course still permitted.

All of these have a direct line of descent from Martin Aitken.

Now he has reached his eightieth birthday, not perhaps a jubilee in the dictionary sense, but who cares?

It was therefore appropriate for his former students and colleagues to gather together in Clermont-Ferrand, to help celebrate it. For the idea and the execution, great credit and our thanks are due to Stephen Stokes and Didier Milliard.

Some forty five of us were there. There were a number of his earliest students, including his first D Phil student, Mike Tite, and others from the early years. There were present-day students of his students; and others who had taken the Golden Road to Keble Road from other less favoured climes and places. Unless my arithmetic is wrong, there was someone present who had worked at Keble Road for every year that Martin was there. Absent friends sent greetings by video and word processor. The writer of these notes can claim to have known Martin longer than anyone else (1950) and have travelled furtherest to get there. Many recalled the hospitality of Martin and Joan at Ispil and expressed regrets that she was unable to be present.

The celebration took the form of a two-day conference with papers grouped roughly in eight themes. The general idea was to present the current
state of the art with invited and contributed papers; and, in due time, to present Martin with a book containing them. Perhaps it could be regarded as the book that he himself might write were he updating his celebrated 1985 and 1998 books. It is hoped that it will be as useful to practitioners as those two were. The programme is reproduced below.

A “family tree” with the names of all his students and their students, in the form of a framed picture was presented. And individuals added their personal gifts.

There was also a good deal of food and wine.

Happy Birthday, Martin!

John Prescott
Physics Department
University of Adelaide

e-mail: jprescott@physics.adelaide.edu.au

Program

Thursday 11th April

- Stephen Stokes & Didier Miallier Introduction & welcome
- John Prescott A datable friendship?
- Rainer Grün & Geoff Duller The place of luminescence dating in the context of absolute dating techniques
- Steve McKeever & Richard Bailey The theoretical framework for luminescence dating
- Ludwig Zilker Towards high temporal resolution of loess: Combination of physical numerical dating (luminescence and °C) and relative chronologies (magnetism and isotopes)
- Richard Bailey, Barney Smith, Andrew Murray & Ann Wintle Luminescence properties of quartz relevant to dating applications
- Ann Wintle, & Geoff Duller Luminescence properties of feldspar relevant for dating applications
- Raphael Visocekas Luminescence in France & its connection with Martin Aitken
- Mortez Fattahi, Raphael Visocekas & Didier Miallier Red luminescence - new approaches and potentials

Antoine Zink Far red luminescence of feldspar
John Prescott Something old & something new: Some new data on Thick Source Alpha Counting and some cosmic reflections
Ian Bailiff & Yeter Gökşu Accident dosimetry
Lars Better-Jensen, John Prescott & Nigel Spooner Instrumentation for the detection of luminescence emissions
Stephen Stokes A celebration of the career and contributions of Martin Aitken FRS

Friday April 12

- Geoff Duller, Li ShengHua & Andrew Murray Single aliquot and single grain equivalent dose determination
- Helen Bray, Geoff Duller, Richard Bailey & Stephen Stokes Data visualization and error analysis in luminescence dating measurements
- Joy Singarayder & Lars Better-Jensen Linear modulation of optically-stimulated luminescence (LMS-OSL)
- Stephen Stokes and Simon Armitage Luminescence dating in desert sedimentary environments
- Helen Roberts, Ann Wintle and Ludwig Zilker Luminescence dating of loess
- Jakob Wallinga and Andreas Lang Beyond aeolian sediments: Applications of luminescence dating in fluvial and colluvial environments
- Eddie Rhodes, Bénédicte Valladas and Norbert Mercier Luminescence dating frameworks for archaeological sciences in the Palaeolithic period
- David Sanderson, Iona Anthony and Anne Sommerville The nature of the event: Luminescence dating is neolithic and later archaeology
- Gunther Wagner Luminescence dating of sediments: An important tool in geoarchaeology
- Andrew Murray, Roger Nathan and John Prescott Radioisotopes, dosimetry and dose rate determination
- Dorothy Godfrey-Smith Plus ça change: A longitudinal study of developments in optical dating from the perspective of Rose Cottage Cave, South Africa
- Bénédicte Valladas, Georges Valladas, Norbert Mercier, Chantal Tribolo TL dating of burnt stones
- Marco Martini A database of TL dates on the Web
SFU age list
D. J. Huntley
Physics Dept., S.F.U., Burnaby, B.C., V5A 1S6, Canada.

A list of optical and thermoluminescence ages obtained at SFU up to the present can be found at
http://www.sfu.ca/physics/research-worksheets/huntley/ as a PDF file. If anyone would like a printed list, please
ask me for one.

The following table is an outline of what is in the age list. O means optical dating, TL means conventional
thermoluminescence dating, and TLS means thermoluminescence sediment dating. For most of the optical ages, 1.4
eV (IR) excitation of K-feldspars was used, and some ages have been corrected for anomalous fading; for a small
number, 2.4 eV (green) excitation of quartz was used. Some samples for which no age was calculated are included
in the age list, but not included in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>type of sample</th>
<th>number of samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>silt and sand, organic-rich sediment</td>
<td>20 O, 3 TLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>muskeg-laid sand or flood deposit</td>
<td>10 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>glaciofluvial or glaciolacustrine silt</td>
<td>13 O, 11 TLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>peat bog</td>
<td>1 TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tephra</td>
<td>4 TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>aeolian sand</td>
<td>10 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>delta sand, glaciofluvial silt</td>
<td>2 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>aeolian sand</td>
<td>37 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>aeolian sand</td>
<td>11 G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>glacial sediments</td>
<td>2 TLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td>silt</td>
<td>1 O, 20 TLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick</td>
<td>dune sand</td>
<td>9 O, 1 TLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Territory</td>
<td>dune sand, sand wedge</td>
<td>2 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon</td>
<td>tephra</td>
<td>1 TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.A.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>tephra</td>
<td>1 TLS, 1 TLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>tsunami-laid deposit</td>
<td>4 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tephra</td>
<td>3 TLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>tsunami-laid lake deposits</td>
<td>5 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>sand and silt</td>
<td>4 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kearth</td>
<td>1 TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>tephra</td>
<td>1 TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>beach dune</td>
<td>24 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Australia</td>
<td>dune sands</td>
<td>3 O, 23 TLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberia</td>
<td>sand, quartzite</td>
<td>3 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceana</td>
<td>pel alabatis</td>
<td>6 TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ocean and gulf</td>
<td>sediment cores</td>
<td>19 TLS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10th International Conference on Luminescence and Electron Spin Resonance Dating
Reno, Nevada, June 24-28, 2002

Student awards

The Vagn Mejdahl poster award was shared by

Gunter Erft
Saxon Academy of Sciences
Freiberg, Germany

and

Sebastien Huot
Département des Sciences de la Terre et de l'Atmosphère
Montréal, Canada

The Martin Aitken oral presentation award was shared by

Joy Singnagley
School of Geography and the Environment
Oxford, U.K.

and

Kristina Thomsen
Riso National Laboratory
Roskilde, Denmark

The prizes were presented by Dr. Glenn Berger and the awards were sponsored by Risø National Laboratory, Denmark and by Daybreak Nuclear and Medical Systems Inc., U.S.A.